



# Erasmus+ Project

Prevention of violent Radicalisation and  
Of Violent Actions in intergroup relations



## REPORT WP 7.2

### Integration report



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.  
This report reflects the views only of the authors, and the European Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

## WP 7.2. INTEGRATION REPORT

### National guidelines

Draft for guidelines emerged from activities of WP3 and WP4 have been shared with the stakeholders involved in the PROVA project to guarantee their effectiveness with high-quality standards. The process for the consensus conference of stakeholders, carried out with the collaboration of the coordinator of the project, consisted of three steps:

- 1. Individual reflections of each stakeholder to the guidelines;**
- 2. Group discussions with stakeholders (i.e. Panel of experts);**
- 3. Participatory meetings with stakeholders;**

In each step partners and the coordinator of the project ensured a direct involvement of participants by providing stimuli for their reflections in order to collect suggestions for best practices that could be proposed to national policymakers.

#### 1. Individual reflections of each stakeholder to the guidelines

---

With adequate notice, draft for guidelines has been sent to all the national stakeholders involved in the PROVA project with the aim of monitoring whether their contents were appropriately transcribed after the activities of WP3 and WP4. A proper schedule has been defined so to collect potential integrations and changes by involved stakeholders, who were asked to collect them individually in a document to be shared during the group discussion in the Panel of experts. Stakeholders were also asked to contribute in the editing of the final draft by scoring each guideline on four dimensions:

- Urgency. How urgent the specific guideline is according to stakeholder's point of view on a 4-point scale (Urgent; Strongly recommended; Recommended; Proposed);
- Upscaling. On what level the specific guideline should be implemented (National level vs EU level);
- Feasibility. Possibility for the specific guideline to be implemented on a 5-point scale (minimum 1, maximum 5);
- Linked actions. Description of existing or planned activities by local institutions linked to each specific guideline.

Each participant individually fulfilled the areas above and collected her/his integrations to the draft for every guideline.

## **2. Group discussion with stakeholders (Panel of experts)**

---

At the beginning of the activity, participants were asked to revise their suggestions regarding national guidelines individually. Successively participants have been divided into two groups: in each group, participants were asked to discuss their suggestions and evaluations of guidelines. At the end of the group discussion, participants were asked to fulfil a group evaluation table for the guidelines with respect to the dimensions explained above. A group consensus has so been collected around suggestions and evaluations of the guidelines for each group of stakeholders. At the end of the activity, participants were finally asked to share their contributions in a plenary session so that the facilitators of the process could collect them in order to integrate the national guidelines. In each country, slightly different tools have been adopted to organise the discussion, following the specificity of the context and the expertise of the partners involved. In Italy, for example, the use of tablets has been adopted to receive immediate feedback to evaluations emerged in both sub-groups, while in the other Countries group techniques have been adopted to facilitate the process.

## **3. Participatory meetings with stakeholders**

---

Suggestions and evaluations of participants were then collected and implemented in the national guidelines and, in order to obtain a high-quality output with participatory methods, have been sent by e-mails to a list of involved stakeholders. This group of participants was composed of the stakeholders involved in the previous activities of the PROVA project that couldn't attend the Panel of experts and were asked to provide suggestions and integrations to the guidelines realised in the previous phases of the project. Partners of the PROVA project then collected suggestions and integrations and included on the final version of the national guidelines.

### **European guidelines**

During the phases described above, the partnership of the PROVA project has continuously been in contact to guarantee uniformity and high-quality standards with the approach and, at the same time, to enrich the specificities of each partner's approach. At the end of the process of realisation of national guidelines, partners of the PROVA project shared the final product and started to identify specific and in common contents of each national guideline. After an adequate period, partners of the PROVA project dedicated the last International Meeting in Florence to realise and share the first draft of European guidelines, to be refined in the subsequent days. A final Skype conference has then been realised to discuss the possible changes and improvements to the final version of European guidelines.