



# Erasmus+ Project

Prevention of violent Radicalisation and  
Of Violent Actions in intergroup relations



## REPORT WP 7.1

Preliminary report  
about the impact  
of implemented  
activities



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.  
This report reflects the views only of the authors, and the European Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

## WP 7.1. PRELIMINARY REPORT ABOUT THE IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTED ACTIVITIES

In this report, the preliminary phase of the realisation of Guidelines is going to be addressed. Guidelines of the PROVA project are, as planned, the result of findings and suggestions emerged during the activities previously implemented in the project. Lead partner of this WP5 was UNIFI, who collaborated with LabCom. In particular, to ensure that guidelines could provide indications to policymakers based on both the experience of the involved social actors and the innovation introduced by the activities of the PROVA project, the **findings** and **suggestions** emerged from the subsequent actions have been taken into account:

1. **Trainings with professionals (WP3);**
2. **Participatory meetings with stakeholders (WP3);**
3. **Workshops with minors and young adults under criminal proceedings and supervisions sessions (WP4);**
4. **Panel of experts (WP7);**

At the end of each activity, evaluation instruments and transcriptions of the main emerged themes have been collected by partners of the PROVA project in order to obtain indications from the involved social actors. In particular:

### 1. **Trainings with professionals (WP3)**

---

In this activity, in which a reflective process, with the use of innovative methods and useful instruments, positively impacting on professionals' self-efficacy and empowerment, has been implemented (starting from findings of WP1). During these sessions, suggestions coming from involved professionals have been collected and transcribed to be included in the first draft of each national guideline. The suggestions coming from involved stakeholders from all the Countries consisted of valuable remarks for drawing up the guidelines for best practices, in order to systematize the existing positive experiences, and to plan new inclusive and preventive interventions at a national level and also provided an initial reflection for the drawing up of the guidelines addressed to EU policymakers.

### 2. **Participatory meetings with stakeholders (WP3)**

---

This activity aimed to develop a reflection on the risk of rising forms of violent radicalisation in the urban areas, thinking about possible prevention and de-radicalisation interventions to be taken. It involved actively several representatives of the local community (as policy makers, local authorities, representatives coming from the world of culture, sport, voluntary work, to religious ministers, leaders of ethnic communities, to those committed to young people matters,

intercultural, justice, education, work, urban and social planning). Given the importance of having participants highly aware of the problem of violent radicalisation and violent actions, both the synthesis of findings (main emerged themes) to address violent radicalisation and the main suggestions to systematise existing positive experiences and to plan inclusive and preventive interventions have been collected by the partners. Transcriptions and synthesis of main emerged themes and suggestions have then been implemented in the first draft for the national guidelines.

### **3. Workshops with minors and young adults under criminal proceedings and supervision sessions (WP4)**

---

This activity was aimed to re-imagine spaces in the town, including the inner spaces of juvenile detention institutions, with innovative activities conducted by researchers of the PROVA project. The reflections emerged were aimed to make these spaces more open to welcome, coexistence, intercultural dialogue and less exposed to conflicts and violent radicalisation. Synthesis of findings, with respect also to evaluations, and main emerged themes have been tracked down by researchers. The impact of the activities on conflicts and civic engagement have also been monitored and transcribed to obtain valuable indications for the draft of guidelines.

### **4. Panel of expert (WP7)**

---

Documents obtained from the activities explained above have then been refined and synthesised in clusters according to their content and used as the main draft to be discussed by stakeholders during the Panel of experts. During this activity, group and plenary discussions have been adopted to foster stakeholders' reflections on main emerged themes and to enrich the draft of guidelines. In each country involved, reflections and suggestions obtained with the Panel of experts have then been refined by researchers in order to obtain the final version of national guidelines. Moreover, a participatory evaluation process has also been adopted in order to obtain additional feedback from the social actors involved in the project (in particular, stakeholders who could not attend the Panel of expert). This last phase also allowed the researchers to obtain a first draft of guidelines that better respond, standing to stakeholders' experience and opinion, the problem of violent radicalisation and violent actions in the selected contexts.